6. GENERAL LEGISLATIVE PROCESS

Censure, Expulsion and Other Disciplinary Actions

The power to discipline and expel members is inherent to a legislative body. It originated with the English Parliament in the sixteenth century, and it was exercised by colonial legislatures prior to American independence. When responding to member misconduct, legislatures have the flexibility to view censure, expulsion and other disciplinary actions as points on a continuum. The punishments that are usually within a legislature's authority include withdrawal of privileges, fine, imprisonment, reprimand, censure, suspension and expulsion. Formal disciplinary procedures generally are regarded as a drastic step reserved for serious situations. Most often, every effort is made to obtain a satisfactory, but informal, solution to the matter.

Modern court cases establish that a legislator who is subject to disciplinary proceedings has the right to due process. Therefore, any special procedures set by a legislative chamber should be built upon the basic elements of a fair disciplinary process.

Basic Elements of a Fair Disciplinary Process

- 1. Charges of alleged violations should be filed in writing with the proper authority.
- 2. A confidential, preliminary investigation should take place promptly to determine whether further action is warranted.
- 3. The accused member should be notified of the issues under review. This individual also should be informed of his or her right to counsel and the dates, places and times of any hearings.
- 4. Any hearing should be conducted to preserve decorum, restrict evidence and testimony to the written charges and uphold the right of the accused to question witnesses and to call witnesses.
- 5. Within a reasonable time, a report of recommendation—either exonerating the accused or preferring specific charges—should be prepared and presented to the member and the proper authority.
- 6. The full membership of the chamber should make the final determination. It may vote to accept, reject or, in some instances, amend the recommendation.

Although the power to judge members is available to all legislative bodies, many chambers do not specify the procedures to investigate charges of misconduct. Only half of the survey respondents reported having investigation procedures that are set by rule, statute or constitutional provision. These chambers are shown below.

Chambers that Have Investigation Procedures

Alabama Senate Alaska Senate and House	Michigan Senate Minnesota Senate and House
Arizona Senate	Missouri House
California Senate	New Hampshire Senate and House
Colorado House	New Jersey Senate and General Assembly
Delaware House	New Mexico Senate and House
Florida Senate and House	New York Senate and Assembly
Georgia House	Ohio Senate and House
Hawaii Senate and House	Oregon Senate
Idaho Senate	Pennsylvania Senate and House
Illinois House	Tennessee Senate and House
Indiana Senate and House	Utah Senate and House
Iowa Senate	Virginia Senate and House
Kansas Senate and House	Washington Senate and House
Kentucky Senate and House	West Virginia House
Louisiana Senate	Wisconsin Assembly
Maryland Senate and House	

In addition, the actual reasons for which a lawmaker may be disciplined often are vague or not specified at all. Table 96-6.1 provides examples of grounds that are set out by constitution, statute or chamber rule. Disorderly behavior or conduct, listed by 37 states, is the most common basis for disciplinary action.

State constitutions provide that each house, with the requisite vote, may expel a member; however, it is a very rare occurrence. Only 17 chambers reported that they had ever taken this very serious action.

Chambers that Have Expelled a Member

Alabama Senate	North Carolina House
Alaska Senate	Pennsylvania Senate and House
Arizona Senate	South Carolina House
Florida House	Virginia Senate
Louisiana Senate	Washington House
Michigan House	West Virginia Senate
Minnesota House	Wisconsin Senate and Assembly

In fact, disciplinary actions in general are fairly uncommon (see table 96-6.2). Only 21 legislative bodies reported censuring a member, and only 17 chambers have taken other disciplinary actions toward members.

Chambers that Have Censured a Member

Alaska Senate	Montana Senate
California Senate	Nebraska Senate
Connecticut House	Nevada Assembly
Florida Senate and House	New Mexico House
Georgia House	Oregon House
Hawaii Senate	Utah House
Idaho House	Virginia Senate
Maine House	Washington House
Minnesota Senate and House	Wisconsin Senate and Assembly

Chambers that Have Taken Other Disciplinary Actions

Alaska Senate	Michigan Senate and House
Arizona House	Minnesota House
Colorado House	Montana Senate
Connecticut House	New Hampshire House
Florida House	North Carolina House
Hawaii Senate	Washington Senate
Idaho Senate	Wisconsin Senate and Assembly
lowa Senate	

Disciplinary actions are used by legislatures to respond to both official and private misconduct. Typically, however, a chamber will consider private misconduct only when it reflects upon a member's loyalty or integrity and if it tends to diminish public confidence in the member's capacities or the legislative institution. Tables 96-6.3 and 96-6.4 provide examples of disciplinary actions that have been taken by legislative chambers.

Table 96-6.1	Grounds for Censure, Expulsion or Other Disciplinary
	Actions

State	Description
Alabama	Contempt, disorderly behavior, transgression of the rules in
	speaking or otherwise, corruption
Alaska	No grounds specified
Arizona	Disorderly behavior, conduct alleged to be unethical includ
	ing, but not limited to, a violation of the public trust, any
	improper conduct of a public office, or any improper conduct
	that adversely reflects upon the Senate
Arkansas	Embezzlement of public monies, bribery, forgery, contempt,
	disorderly behavior, corruption
California	Conflict of interest violations, acceptance of prohibited
	honoraria, bribery
Colorado	Contempt; disorderly behavior; corruption; disclosing any
	words; statements; matters or proceedings occurring during
	an executive session; bribery; influence in general assembly
	(vote trading); misconduct involving legislative duties
Connecticut	Disorderly conduct
Delaware	Disorderly behavior
Florida	Contempt, disorderly conduct, violations of law, violations of
	code of conduct
Georgia	Disorderly behavior, misconduct
Hawaii	Misconduct, disorderly behavior or neglect of duty
Idaho	No grounds specified
Illinois	Disorderly behavior, felony conviction, bribery, perjury or
	other infamous crime
Indiana	Disorderly behavior
lowa	Disorderly behavior, violation of the code of ethics, conflict
	of interest violations
Kansas	Misconduct, failure to vote when not excused, treason,
	bribery or other high crimes and misdemeanors
Kentucky	Disorderly behavior, dueling, using money or property to
	secure or influence election, receiving profit on public funds,
	accepting free passes
Louisiana	Disorderly conduct, contempt
Maine	Disorderly conduct
Maryland	Disorderly or disrespectful behavior
Massachusetts	
Michigan	Conviction of a felony, election law violation leading to
	election, conflict of interest violations
Minnesota	Disorderly behavior
Mississippi	Bribery, perjury, theft, corruption, disorderly behavior
Missouri	Ethical misconduct, disorderly behavior
Montana	No grounds specified

State	Description
Nebraska	No grounds specified
Nevada	Bribery, disorderly conduct, conflict of interest violations
New Hampshire	Disorderly conduct
New Jersey	Disorderly behavior
New Mexico	Contempt, disorderly behavior
New York	
North Carolina	
North Dakota	Bribery, corruption, perjury or other infamous crimes
Ohio	Bribery, code of ethics violations, conflict of interest viola
	tions, diswillfully or flagrantly exercising authority or power
	not authorized by law, misdemeanor in office, neglect to
	perform any official duty imposed by law, gross neglect of
	duty, disorderly conduct, gross immorality, drunkenness,
	misfeasance, malfeasance or nonfeasance, embezzlement,
	theft in office
Oklahoma	Corruption, conflict of interest violations, disorderly behavior,
	drunkenness, use of illegal drugs, abusive language, altering
	a bill draft or engrossed copy of a bill, bribery, vote trading
Oregon	Disorderly behavior
Pennsylvania	Embezzlement of public moneys; bribery; perjury or other
,	infamous crime; contempt; disorderly behavior; corruption
Rhode Island	Conflict of interest violations, disorderly behavior
South Carolina	Disorderly behavior, incapacity, misconduct, neglect of duty
South Dakota	Inappropriate remarks; criminal conduct; bribery; perjury or
	other infamous crime; violation of oath of office
Tennessee	Disorderly behavior
Texas	Disorderly conduct, bribery
Utah	Abuse of official position, conflict of interest violations,
	disorderly conduct
Vermont	
Virginia	Disorderly behavior
Washington	Transgression of chamber rules, contempt, disorderly behav
Ŭ	ior, violation of ethics laws or rules
West Virginia	Transgressing the House rules, disorderly behavior
Wisconsin	Contempt, disorderly behavior
Wyoming	Contempt, disorderly behavior, corruption

Table 96-6.1Grounds for Censure, Expulsion or Other Disciplinary
Actions

						•••P···			
State (1)	Chamber has censured a member	Chamber has expelled a member	Chamber has taken other disciplinary actions toward member	Member has been convicted of a criminal offense	Member has resigned while under criminal investigation	Member has resigned following criminal conviction	Member has resigned prior to or during expulsion proceedings	Member has taken voluntary absence during criminal conviction	Member has taken voluntary absence during expulsion actions
Alabama		S		S					
Alaska	S	S	S			S			
Arizona		S	Н		В				
Arkansas					S				
California	S	S		S		S			
Colorado			Н						
Connecticut	Н		Н						
Delaware				Н	Н	Н			
Florida	В	Н	Н	Н	В	Н	Н	Н	
Georgia	Н								
Hawaii	S		S	S				S	
Idaho	Н		S						
Illinois									
Indiana					S				
Iowa			S						
Kansas									
Kentucky				В	S	В			
Louisiana		S		Н	Н	В	Н	Н	Н
Maine	Н			Н		Н	Н		
Maryland				В	Н	S			
Massachusetts									
Michigan		Н	В	В	В	В	S		
Minnesota	В	Н	Н		Н				
Mississippi									
Missouri					S				
Montana	S		S						

 Table 96-6.2
 Censure, Expulsion or Other Disciplinary Actions Taken

	1	-				1	1	1	
State (1)	Chamber has censured a member	Chamber has expelled a member	Chamber has taken other disciplinary actions toward member	Member has been convicted of a criminal offense	Member has resigned while under criminal investigation	Member has resigned following criminal conviction	Member has resigned prior to or during expulsion proceedings	Member has taken voluntary absence during criminal conviction	Member has taken voluntary absence during expulsion actions
		•		~ •	~ •	~ •	~ •	~ •	~ •
Nebraska	S			S		S	S		
Nevada	Н			S					
New Hampshire			Н		Н				
New Jersey									
New Mexico	Н			В	S	S			
New York			Н	В	S	S			
North Carolina		Н		Н		Н			
North Dakota									
Ohio									
Oklahoma				S	S	S		S	
Oregon	Н			S	S	S			
Pennsylvania		В		В	Н	Н	Н	S	
Rhode Island									
South Carolina		Н			Н	Н	Н		
South Dakota									
Tennessee									
Texas					S				
Utah	Н						Н		
Vermont									
Virginia	S	S		S	S				
Washington	Н	Н	S	В	S				
West Virginia		S		В	В				
Wisconsin	В	В	В	В	В	В			
Wyoming									

Table 96-6.2Censure, Expulsion or Other Disciplinary Actions Taken,
cont'd.

Table 96-6.2 Censure, Expulsion or Other Disciplinary Actions Taken, cont'd.

Key:

S=Senate H=House or Assembly B=Both chambers

Note:

1. The following chambers did not respond to the survey: Delaware Senate, Georgia Senate, Massachusetts Senate and House, Mississippi Senate, North Carolina Senate, Rhode Island House, South Carolina Senate; nor did any legislatures from the U.S. territories respond.

State	Description
Alabama	Date not given—member convicted of soliciting a bribe and
	expelled; member was reinstated by federal court for lack of
	due process; Senate did not pursue issue further Date not
	given—member convicted of felony mail fraud; any member
	convicted of a felony is automatically expelled by such
	conviction.
Alaska	1994—member was sanctioned for (a) use of official position
	to obtain a private gain by seeking sexual favors; (b) use of
	his legislative position for nongovernmental purpose; and (c)
	providing employment in exchange for private gain. The
	member was stripped of all committee chair positions and
	Senate appointments to various committees; was required
	and compelled to attend at his own expense a court-sanc
	tioned male awareness program; was prohibited from
	traveling out-of-state at state expense during the remainder of
	legislative term; and was formally censured and placed on
	probation for the remainder of term.
	1982—member was expelled following conviction of bribery
	and receiving a bribe.
Arizona	1991—member was expelled for unethical conduct that
	violated the Senate rules, the campaign finance disclosure
	requirements, and the personal financial disclosure require
	ments.
	1991—member resigned while facing charges for
	unethical conduct that violated Senate rules.
California	1994, 1991, 1990—each year, a member resigned following
	criminal conviction for corruption in office.
Florida	1977—member was reprimanded and fined for violating
	Senate rules relating to standards of conduct at a special
	session of the Senate.
	1971—member was excused from attendance following
	indictment on income tax evasion charges; member subse
	quently resigned.
Hawaii	Date not given—member was censured following conviction
	of soliciting act of prostitution. Date not given—member was
	censured following conviction of spouse abuse.
Idaho	1990—member was seen counting envelopes of another
	member's mailing; the member was required to make a
	formal apology to fellow member for impulsive lack of good
	judgment with regard to the expected standard of conduct,
	decorum and protocol of a Senator and the Senate.
Indiana	1979—member was convicted of extorting money, bribery,
	making false statements and influencing grand jury witnesses;

 Table 96-6.3
 Examples of Disciplinary Actions—Senate

State	Description
lowa	Date not given—member was reprimanded and removed
	from committee positions for conflict of interest between
	private activities promoting local government investments
	and activities as Senator.
Kentucky	1992—15 legislators (8 senators and 7 representatives) were
	convicted on corruption charges following a federal investi
	gation; all members still in office resigned, except one
	representative against whom only state charges had been
	brought; this member was found to have violated the Legisla
	tive Ethics Code and the House will determine his punish
	ment during the 1996 regular session.
Louisiana	1993—member was convicted of a federal felony; resigned
	from the Senate in 1994 after appeal was unsuccessful.
	1979—member was convicted of federal felony, but main
	tained his seat during the 1980 session while appeals were
	pending; member was expelled after missing the entire 1981
	session due to incarceration.
Minnesota	1996—two members are being investigated on felony and
	gross misdemeanor charges related to long-distance phone
	privileges; one member was reprimanded by the Senate.
	1996—member was reprimanded for slapping his wife in
	public; member apologized to the Senate and is not seeking
	reelection.
	1995—member was charged with shoplifting and
	other crimes; member resigned his seat.
	1994—member was reprimanded for misuse of long-distance
	phone privileges; member apologized to the Senate, resigned
	from his committee chairmanship, resigned his membership
	on the Rules Committee and reimbursed the Senate for the
	cost of the questioned telephone calls.
Missouri	1983—member was accused of stealing from a client's estate;
	resigned from the Senate.
Nebraska	No dates given—two members have been convicted of
	criminal offenses; one member resigned prior to his convic
	tion, the other resigned following his conviction.
Nevada	1983—member was convicted of taking a \$5000 payment on
	a \$150,000 bribe; member resigned.
New Mexico	No date given—member was found guilty of bribing officials;
	he resigned after the conviction but prior to his expulsion.
Oregon	1988—member was recalled following allegations of sexual
0	abuse of a minor.
	1993—member resigned following conviction of fraud in

Table 96-6.3 Examples of Disciplinary Actions—Senate, cont'd.

State	Description
Texas	1972—member resigned following allegations he violated
	the law by putting his relatives on the legislative payroll.
Washington	1995—member was reprimanded by Legislative Ethics Board
_	for writing a memo to law partners indicating availability to
	assist them or their clients during the legislative session.
	1990—member was sued for sexual harassment, retaliation
	and constructive discharge; member resigned.
West Virginia	1989—member resigned from leadership position and the
	Senate following indictment on federal extortion charges.
Wisconsin	1995—member failed to disclose political contributions and
	payments and was fined by the State Elections Board. 1990—
	three members were was accused of ethics law violations of
	various degrees; one member agreed to pay a fine and
	perform community service in lieu of formal prosecution by
	the Ethics Board, another paid fines and court costs, and the
	third resigned from the legislature as part of a plea agree ment.
	1987—seven senators and representatives were charged with violating ethics laws, each was required by the Ethics Board
	to acknowledge the violation and pay a fine.
	1978—member was charged with felony false testimony
	about campaign contributions and was removed from office
	upon felony conviction.
	1978—member was charged with misuse of federally-paid
	CETA workers during a campaign and was removed from
	office upon felony conviction.

 Table 96-6.3 Examples of Disciplinary Actions—Senate, cont'd.

State	Description
Arizona	1991—"Azscam" violations of campaign finance laws; House began disciplinary actions against one member, who resigned prior to the conclusion of the proceedings; five other mem
	bers resigned before any proceedings were initiated.
Connecticut	 1988—House passed a resolution rededicating the House and its dignity and disassociating itself from the remarks of a member. 1980—member was reprimanded and censured for offensive written communication.
Delaware	1983—one member resigned after some financial irregulari ties had been alleged in connection with the civil association for which he served as president; another member resigned after he was found guilty of tax evasion and filing false statements.
Florida	 1994—member was admonished by the Speaker following House investigation into allegations that he represented another person or entity before a state agency for compensa tion, which violates Florida constitution and statute. 1992—member was admonished by the Speaker following House investigation into allegations that he personally represented others before a state agency, which violates Florida constitution and statute. 1991—member was admonished by letter from the Speaker and removed from his leadership position after House investigation into violations of several Florida statutes. 1987—member was charged with bribery and grand theft; member was suspended without pay from all privileges of membership of the House pending appellate action; member subsequently resigned his seat. 1980—member was censured by the House after conviction of making misstatements on SBA loan application. 1980—member was reprimanded by the House for violating House rules regarding sexual discrimination, sexual harass ment and legislative conduct. 1976—member voluntarily excused himself while charges of intention to commit fraud were being investigated; charges were dropped. 1975—member resigned after pleading guilty to indictment charging perjury, fabricating evidence and witness tampering 1971—member was granted leave of absence after indict ment on federal charges of fraud, conspiracy and securities violations; the member subsequently resigned.

 Table 96-6.4
 Examples of Disciplinary Actions—House

, cont'd.
ed questionable
e that he was using
f a family member),
dy.
resentatives) were
; a federal investi
except one

Table 96-6.4 Examples of Disciplinary Actions—House, cont'd.

State	Description
Idaho	1990—member was reprimanded for alleged questionable
	conduct (giving the impression to someone that he was using
	his position as a legislator for the benefit of a family member),
	the member formally apologized to the body.
Kentucky	1992—15 legislators (8 senators and 7 representatives) were
	convicted on corruption charges following a federal investi
	gation; all members still in office resigned, except one
	representative against whom only state charges had been
	brought; this member was found to have violated the Legisla
	tive Ethics Code and the House will determine his punish
	ment during the 1996 regular session.
Louisiana	1991 and 1987—a member was convicted of federal rack
	eteering; each time, the member was served with notice of
	expulsion and resigned prior to the hearing.
Maine	1987—member was convicted of ballot tampering, member
	resigned during House process to expel.
Minnesota	1986—member was censured for violating ethics law by
	filing late and incomplete campaign reports and for plea of
	guilty to a felony theft charge.
	1979—member was expelled for campaign and election law
	violations. Date not given—member convicted of soliciting a
	prostitute was required to submit letter of apology to the
	House for publication in the journal. Date not given—
	member resigned during a criminal investigation for alleged
	misappropriation of funds while employed as a financial
	planner. Date not given—member resigned during a criminal
	investigation for alleged misuse of House long-distance
	phone privileges.
New Mexico	1992—member was censured for conduct that impugned the
	integrity of the House (soliciting a bribe); the member was
	subsequently convicted in criminal court.
North Carolina	1982—member resigned; he had been convicted in connec
	tion with an arson case.
Oregon	No date given—the House refused to seat a member on the
	first day for alleged campaign practices violation; the mem
	ber was subsequently seated and censured by the House and
	later recalled by the voters of his district.
South Carolina	1991,1990—House was part of an FBI undercover investiga
	tion into possible "vote buying;" 28 legislators and lobbyists
	were indicted on drug or bribery charges.
Utah	1993—member was censured for shoplifting; the member
	resigned during the vote to reconsider for expulsion.

State	Description
Washington	1985—member was censured for campaign violations. 1980—member was convicted of mail fraud.
West Virginia	No date given—member resigned pending proceedings against him for extortion.
Wisconsin	 1995—a letter of reprimand signed by the leaders of both political parties was sent to member (and printed in the journal) after his third arrest for various violations, including marijuana possession and drunk driving. 1987—seven senators and representatives were charged with violating ethics laws, each was required by the Ethics Board to acknowledge the violation and pay a fine. 1986—member was charged with violating ethics laws and was required by the Ethics Board to pay a fine and court costs. 1985—member was charged with violating ethics law and was required to acknowledge violation and pay fine. 1980—member was charged with two criminal misdemeanor election law violations by misuse of campaign funds; he pleaded guilty and served 30 days in jail. 1979—member was charged with felony false swearing to a federal grand jury and was removed from office upon felony conviction.

 Table 96-6.4 Examples of Disciplinary Actions—House, cont'd.